arXiv:math/0508161v2 [math.AP] 2 Mar 2006

Inverse hyperbolic problems with time-dependent coefficients.

G.Eskin, Department of Mathematics, UCLA,
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA. E-mail: eskin@math.ucla.edu

February 8, 2008

Abstract

We consider the inverse problem for the second order self-adjoint hyperbolic equation in a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^n$ with lower order terms depending analytically on the time variable. We prove that, assuming the BLR condition, the time-dependent Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator prescribed on a part of the boundary uniquely determines the coefficients of the hyperbolic equation up to a diffeomorphism and a gauge transformation. As a by-product we prove a similar result for the nonself-adjoint hyperbolic operator with time-independent coefficients.

1 Introduction.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and let Γ_0 be an open subset of $\partial\Omega$. Consider a hyperbolic equation in $\Omega \times (0, T_0)$ of the form:

$$(1.1) \qquad Lu \overset{def}{=} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + A_0(x,t)\right)^2 u(x,t)$$
$$-\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(x)}}\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + A_j(x,t)\right)\sqrt{g(x)}g^{jk}(x)\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + A_k(x,t)\right)u$$
$$-V(x,t)u = 0,$$

where $\|g^{jk}(x)\|^{-1}$ is the metric tensor in $\overline{\Omega}$, $g(x) = \det \|g^{jk}\|^{-1}$, $A_j(x,t),\ 0 \leq j \leq n$,and $V(x,t)$ are smooth in $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and real analytic in $t,\ t \in [0,T_0]$. We assume that

$$u(x,0) = u_t(x,0) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$u\big|_{\partial\Omega\times(0,T_0)} = f(x',t), \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\text{supp}\ f(x',t) \subset \overline{\Gamma_0} \times (0,T_0]. \tag{1.4}$$

Let Λf be the D-to-N (Dirichlet-to-Neumann) operator on $\Gamma_0 \times (0,T_0)$:

$$\Lambda f = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g^{jk}(x)\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + iA_j(x,t)u\right)\nu_k\left(\sum_{p,r=1}^{n} g^{pr}(x)\nu_p\nu_r\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big|_{\Gamma_0\times(0,T_0)}\ , \tag{1.5}$$

where $\nu = (\nu_1,...,\nu_n)$ is the unit exterior normal to $\partial\Omega$ with respect to the Euclidian metric. We shall study the inverse problem of the determination of the coefficients of (1.1) knowing the D-to-N operator on $\Gamma_0 \times (0,T_0)$ for all smooth f with supports in $\overline{\Gamma_0} \times (0,T_0]$.

Let

$$y = y(x) \tag{1.6}$$

be a diffeomorphism of $\overline{\Omega}$ onto some domain $\overline{\Omega_0}$ such that $\partial\Omega_0 \supset \Gamma_0$ and

$$y(x) = x \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0. \tag{1.7}$$

The equation (1.1) will have the following form in y-coordinates:

$$(1.8) \qquad L_0 v \overset{def}{=} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + A_0^{(0)}(y,t)\right)^2 v(y,t)$$

$$-\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_0(y)}}\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + A_j^{(0)}(y,t)\right)\sqrt{g_0(y)}g_0^{jk}(y)\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} + A_k^{(0)}(y,t)\right)v(y,t)$$

$$-V^{(0)}(y)v(y,t) = 0,$$

where $v(y(x),t)=u(x,t)$,

$$\|g_0^{jk}(y(x))\| = \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}y}{\mathcal{D}x}\right)\|g^{jk}(x)\|\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}y}{\mathcal{D}x}\right)^T, \tag{1.9}$$

$$g_0(y) = \det\|g_0^{jk}(y)\|^{-1}, \tag{1.10}$$

$\frac{\mathcal{D}y}{\mathcal{D}x}$ is the Jacobi matrix of (1.6), and

$$A_0^{(0)}(y(x),t) = A_0(x,t), \qquad V^{(0)}(y(x),t) = V(x,t), \tag{1.11}$$

$$A_k(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j^{(0)}(y(x),t)\frac{\partial y_j(x)}{\partial x_k}, \quad 1 \le k \le n. \tag{1.12}$$

Denote by $G_0(\overline{\Omega_0}\times[0,T_0])$ the group of $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega_0}\times[0,T_0])$ complex valued functions $c(y,t)$ such that $c(y,t)\neq 0$ and

$$c(y,t)=1 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0\times[0,T_0]. \tag{1.13}$$

We shall call $G_0(\overline{\Omega_0}\times[0,T_0])$ the gauge group. We say that the potentials $A_0^{(0)}(y,t),...,A_n^{(0)}(y,t),V^{(0)}(y,t)$ and $A_0^{(1)}(y,t),...,A_n^{(1)}(y,t),V^{(1)}(y,t)$ are gauge equivalent if there exists $c(y,t)\in G_0(\overline{\Omega_0}\times[0,T_0])$ such that

$$A_0^{(1)}(y,t) = A_0^{(0)}(y,t) - ic^{-1}(y,t)\frac{\partial c}{\partial t}, \quad V^{(1)}(y,t) = V^{(0)}(y,t), \tag{1.14}$$

$$A_j^{(1)}(y,t) = A_j^{(0)}(y,t) - ic^{-1}(y,t)\frac{\partial c}{\partial y_j}, \quad 1 \le j \le n. \tag{1.15}$$

Note that if

$$v^{(1)}(y,t) = c^{-1}(y,t)v(y,t), \tag{1.16}$$

then $v^{(1)}$ satisfies equation of the form (1.8) with potentials $\{A_j^{(0)},V^{(0)}\}$ replaced by the gauge equivalent $\{A_j^{(1)},V^{(1)}\}$, $0\le j\le n$. We shall call the transformation (1.16) the gauge transformation.

Denote

$$T_* = \sup_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} d(x, \Gamma_0), \tag{1.17}$$

where $d(x, \Gamma_0)$ is the distance in $\overline{\Omega}$ with respect to metric tensor $\|g^{jk}\|^{-1}$ from $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ to Γ_0.

We shall assume that the BLR condition (see [BLR]) is satisfied for $t = T_{**}$. This means roughly speaking that any bicharacteristics of L in $T_0^*(\Omega \times [0, T_{**}])$ intersects $((\Gamma_0 \times [0, T_{**}]) \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$.

Note that since BLR condition is determined by the geometry of Ω and Γ_0 and the second order terms of L it holds when $[0, T_{**}]$ is replaced by $[t_0, T_{**} + t_0]$, $\forall t_0 > 0$.

We shall prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let L and L_0 be two operators of the form (1.1) and (1.8) in Ω and Ω_0 respectively, with coefficients analytic in $t \in [0, T_0]$ and let L and L_0 be formally self-adjoint, i.e coefficients A_j, V and $A_j^{(0)}, V^{(0)}$ are real-valued, $0 \le j \le n$. Suppose that the BLR condition for L is satisfied when $t = T_{**}$, and the D-to-N operators Λ and $\Lambda^{(0)}$ coresponding to L and $L^{(0)}$ respectively are equal on $\Gamma_0 \times (0, T_0)$ for all f satisfying (1.4) where $\Gamma_0 \subset \partial\Omega \cap \partial\Omega_0$. Suppose $T_0 > 2T_* + T_{**}$. Then there exists a diffeomorphism $y = y(x)$ of $\overline{\Omega}$ onto $\overline{\Omega_0}$, $y(x) = x$ on Γ_0, such that (1.9) holds. Moreover, there exists a gauge transformation $c_0(x, t) \in G_0(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T_0])$ such that*

$$c_0 \circ y^{-1} \circ L_0 = L \tag{1.18}$$

in $\Omega \times (0, T_0)$.

Remark 1.1 Let L^* be formally adjoint operator to L. To prove Theorem 1.1 we need to know in the addition to D-to-N operator Λ the D-to-N operator Λ_* corresponding to L^*. In the case when $L^* = L$ we have, obviously, that $\Lambda_* = \Lambda$. When $A_0 = 0$ and the coefficients of L are independent of t one can show (see, for example, [KL1], [E1]) that we can recover Λ_* from Λ. Therefore the proof and the result of Theorem 1.1 hold for the case when $A_0 = 0$ and $A_j(x)$, $1 \le j \le n$, $V(x)$ are complex-valued and independent of t, and this gives a new proof of the corresponding result in [KL1]. □

The first inverse problem with boundary data on a part of the boundary was considered in [I]. The most general results were obtained by the BC-methods in the case of self-adjoint hyperbolic operators with time-independent coefficients (see [B1], [B2], [KKL], [KK]). The nonself-adjoint case with time-independent coefficient was considered in [B3], [KL1], [KL2], [KL3]. The inverse problems for the wave equations with time-dependent potentials were considered in [St], [RS] (see also [I]).

The present paper is a generalization of the paper [E1]. We shall widely use the notations, results and the proofs from [E1]. Note that the case of equations with Yang-Mills potentials was considered in [E2].

In §2 we recover the coefficients of L (modulo a diffeomorphism and a gauge transformation) locally near Γ_0 (Theorem 2.1). Following [E1] in §3 we prove the global result. In §4 we prove some lemmas used in §2.

2 The local result.

Let Γ be an open subset of Γ_0 and $U_0 \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a neighborhood of Γ. Let (x', x_n) be coordinates in U_0 such that the equation of Γ is $x_n = 0$ and $x' = (x_1, ..., x_{n-1})$ are coordinates on $\partial\Omega \cap U_0$. Introduce semi-geodesic coordinates $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ for L in U_0 (c.f. [E1]):

$$y = \varphi(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\varphi(x', 0) = x'$,

$$\hat{g}^{nn}(y) = 1, \quad \hat{g}^{nj} = \hat{g}^{jn} = 0, \quad 1 \le j \le n-1, \tag{2.2}$$

$\|\hat{g}^{jk}(y)\|^{-1}$ is the metric tensor in the semi-geodesic coordinates. The equation (1.1) has the following form in the semi-geodeic coordinates

$$\hat{L}\hat{u} \overset{def}{=} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \hat{A}_0(y,t)\right)^2 \tag{2.3}$$

$$- \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}(y)}} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \hat{A}_j(y,t)\right) \sqrt{\hat{g}(y)}\hat{g}^{jk}(y) \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} + \hat{A}_k(y,t)\right) \hat{u}(y,t)$$

$$-\hat{V}(y,t)\hat{u}(y,t) = 0,$$

where, as in §1, $\hat{u}(\varphi(x), t) = u(x,t)$, $\hat{g}(y) = \det \|\hat{g}^{jk}(y)\|^{-1}$,

$$\hat{A}_0(\varphi(x), t) = A_0(x,t), \quad \hat{V}(\varphi(x), t) = V(x,t), \tag{2.4}$$

$$A_k(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{A}_j(\varphi(x),t)\frac{\partial \varphi_j(x)}{\partial x_k}, \tag{2.5}$$

$\varphi(x) = (\varphi_1(x), ..., \varphi_n(x))$. As in [E1] let

$$A'_j(y) = -\frac{i}{2}(\sqrt{\hat{g}})^{-1}\frac{\partial\sqrt{\hat{g}}}{\partial y_j} = -\frac{i\hat{g}_{y_j}}{4\hat{g}}, \quad 1 \le j \le n. \tag{2.6}$$

Then (2.3) can be rewritten in the form:

$$\hat{L}\hat{u} = \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \hat{A}_0(y,t)\right)^2 - \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + \hat{A}_n(y,t) + A'_n(y)\right)^2 \hat{u} \tag{2.7}$$

$$-\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \hat{A}_j + A'_j(y)\right)\hat{g}^{jk}\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} + \hat{A}_k + A'_k\right)\hat{u} - \hat{V}_1(y,t)\hat{u} = 0,$$

where

$$\hat{V}_1(y,t) = (A'_n)^2 + i\frac{\partial A'_n}{\partial y_n} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\left(\hat{g}^{jk}A'_jA'_k + i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}(\hat{g}^{jk}A'_k)\right) + \hat{V}(y,t). \tag{2.8}$$

Denote by $\hat{\Lambda}$ the D-to-N operator corresponding to $\hat{L}$. We have

$$\hat{\Lambda}f = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + i\hat{A}_n(y,t)\right)\hat{u}(y,t)\,|_{y_n=0,0<t<T_0}\, . \tag{2.9}$$

Make the transformation $\hat{u} = (\hat{g}(y',y_n))^{-\frac{1}{4}}u'$. Then $L'u' = 0$, where L' is the same as (2.7) with $\hat{A}_j + A'_j$ replaced by $\hat{A}_j$, $1 \le j \le n$. Denote by Λ' the D-to-N operator $\Lambda'f' = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + i\hat{A}_n(y,t)\right)u'\,|_{y_n=0,0<t<T_0}$, where $L'u' = 0$, $f' = u'\,|_{y_n=0,0<t<T_0}$. Since $\hat{\Lambda}$ determines $\hat{g}(y',0)$ and $\frac{\partial\hat{g}(y',0)}{\partial y_n}$ (see Remark 2.2 in [E1]) we get that $\hat{\Lambda}$ determines Λ' on $\Gamma \times (0,T_0)$ (c.f. [E1], (2.12), (2.13)).

Analogously to (1.14), (1.15) $A_j^{(1)}(y,t)$, $0 \le j \le n$, and $\hat{A}_j$, $0 \le j \le n$, are called gauge equivalent in $\overline{U_0}\times(0,T_0)$ if there exists $\hat{\psi}(y',y_n,t) \in C^\infty(\overline{U_0}\times[0,T_0])$,

$$\hat{\psi}(y',0,t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T_0, \tag{2.10}$$

such that

$$\hat{A}_j^{(1)}(y,t) = \hat{A}_j(y,t) + \frac{\partial\hat{\psi}}{\partial y_j},\ 1 \leq j \leq n,\ \hat{A}_0^{(1)} = \hat{A}_0 + \frac{\partial\hat{\psi}}{\partial t}. \tag{2.11}$$

Here $c = e^{i\hat{\psi}}$. We shall choose $\hat{\psi}(y,t)$ such that

$$\hat{A}_0 - \hat{A}_n(y,t) + \frac{\partial\hat{\psi}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial\hat{\psi}}{\partial y_n} = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

i.e.

$$\hat{A}_0^{(1)} = \hat{A}_n^{(1)} \quad \text{in} \quad \overline{U_0} \times [0, T_0]. \tag{2.13}$$

Note that $\hat{\psi}(y', y_n, t)$ is analytic in t since $\hat{A}_j(y,t),\ 0 \leq j \leq n$, are analytic in t. Therefore $\hat{A}_j^{(1)},\ 0 \leq j \leq n$, are also analytic in t.

Let

$$s = t - y_n, \quad \tau = T - t - y_n. \tag{2.14}$$

Note that

$$\hat{u}_s = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{u}_t - \hat{u}_{y_n}), \quad \hat{u}_\tau = -\frac{1}{2}(\hat{u}_t + \hat{u}_{y_n}). \tag{2.15}$$

Substituting $u' = e^{i\hat{\psi}}u_1$ in $L'u' = 0$ we get the following equation for $u_1(y,t)$:

$$L_1 u_1 \overset{def}{=} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \hat{A}_n^{(1)}(y,t)\right)^2 u_1 - \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + \hat{A}_n^{(1)}(y,t)\right)^2 u_1 \tag{2.16}$$

$$- \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \hat{A}_j^{(1)}(y,t)\right) \hat{g}^{jk} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} + \hat{A}_k^{(1)}(y,t)\right) u_1 - \hat{V}_1(y,t)u_1 = 0,$$

In (s, τ, y') coordinates we have

$$L_1 u_1 = 4u_{1s\tau} - 4i\hat{A}_n^{(1)} u_{1s} \tag{2.17}$$

$$- \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \hat{A}_j^{(1)}(y,t)\right) \hat{g}^{jk} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} + \hat{A}_k^{(1)}(y,t)\right) u_1 - \hat{V}_2(y,t)u_1 = 0,$$

where

$$\hat{V}_2 = \hat{V}_1 + 2i\hat{A}_{ns}^{(1)}.$$

Note that the D-to-N operator corresponding to L_1 is

$$\Lambda^{(1)}(u_1\,|_{y_n=0,0<t<T_0}) = \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y_n} + i\hat{A}_n^{(1)} u_1\right)|_{y_n=0,0<t<T_0}\ , \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$u_1(y,t) = e^{-i\hat{\psi}(y,t)}(\hat{g}(y', y_n))^{\frac{1}{4}} u(\varphi^{-1}(y), t), \tag{2.19}$$

where $Lu = 0$.

Let $\Delta_{1s_0} = \Gamma \times [s_0, T]$ where $T_1 \leq s_0 < T$. Denote by $D(\Delta_{1s_0})$ the forward domain of influence of Δ_{1s_o} in the half-space $y_n \geq 0$. Let $\Gamma^{(2)} = \{y' : (y', y_n, t) \in D(\Delta_{1T_1}), y_n = 0, t = T\}$ and let $\Delta_{2s_0} = \Gamma^{(2)} \times [s_0, T]$. Denote by $D(\Delta_{2s_0})$ the forward domain of influence of Δ_{2s_0} for $y_n \geq 0$. Let $\Gamma^{(3)} = \{y' : (y', y_n, t) \in D(\Delta_{2T_1}), y_n = 0, t = T\}$ and let $\Delta_{3s_0} = \Gamma^{(3)} \times [s_0, T]$. Denote by $D(\Delta_{3s_0})$ the forward domain of influence of Δ_{3s_0} in the half-space $y_n \geq 0$. We assume that $T - T_1$ is small such that $D(\Delta_{3T_1}) \subset \Gamma_0 \times [T_1, T]$ for $t \leq T$, the semigeodesic coordinates are defined in $D(\Delta_{3T_1}), t \leq T$, and $D(\Delta_{3T_1}) \setminus \{y_n = 0\}$ does not intersect $\partial\Omega \times [T_1, T]$. Denote by Y_{js_0} the intersection of $D(\Delta_{js_0})$ with the plane $T - t - y_n = 0, 1 \leq j \leq 3$. Let X_{js_0} be the part of $D(\Delta_{js_0})$ below Y_{js_0} and let $Z_{js_0} = \partial X_{js_0} \setminus (Y_{js_0} \cup \{y_n = 0\}), 1 \leq j \leq 3$.

Suppose $L_1 u_1 = 0$ for $y_n > 0,\ t < T,\ u_1 = u_{1t} = 0$ for $t = T_1,\ y_n > 0,\ u_1\,|_{y_n=0,T_1<t<T} = f$. Let L_1^* be the operator formally adjoint to L_1. Note that L_1^* has the form (2.16) with $\hat{A}_j^{(1)}, 0 \leq j \leq n, \hat{V}_1(y,t)$ replaced by $\overline{\hat{A}_j^{(1)}}, 0 \leq j \leq n, \overline{\hat{V}_1(y,t)}$. The D-to-N operator $\Lambda_*^{(1)}$ corresponding to L_1^* has the form

$$\Lambda_*^{(1)} g = \left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y_n} + i\overline{\hat{A}_n^{(1)}}(y,t) v_1\right)|_{y_n=0,T_1<t<T}\ .$$

where $L_1^* v_1 = 0$ for $y_n > 0,\ t < T,\ v_1\,|_{y_n=0,T_1<t<T} = g, v_1 = v_{1t} = 0$ when $t = T_1, y_n > 0$.

We assume that $\Lambda_*^{(1)}$ can be determined if we know $\Lambda^{(1)}$. This is obviously true when L_1 is formally self-adjoint. Then $\Lambda_*^{(1)} = \Lambda^{(1)}$. Note that L_1 is self-adjoint if L is self-adjoint. Note that $\Lambda^{(1)}$ determines $\Lambda_*^{(1)}$ also when $\hat{A}_0^{(1)} = 0,\ A_j^{(1)},\ 1 \leq j \leq n, \hat{V}_1$ are independent of t (c.f. [KL1]).

Consider the identity

$$0 = (L_1 u_1, v_1) - (u_1, L_1^* v_1), \tag{2.20}$$

where

$$(u_1, v_1) = \int_{X_{3T_1}} u_1(y,t)\overline{v_1(y,t)}dydt. \tag{2.21}$$

We assume that supp f and supp g are contained in Δ_{3T_1}.

Integrating by parts we get

$$\int_{X_{3T_1}} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \hat{A}_n^{(1)}\right)^2 u_1\overline{v_1}dy'dy_ndt \tag{2.22}$$

$$= \int_{X_{3T_1}} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \hat{A}_n^{(1)}\right) u_1\overline{\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \overline{\hat{A}_n^{(1)}}\right)}v_1dydt - i\int_{Y_{3T_1}} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \hat{A}_n^{(1)}\right) u_1\overline{v_1}dy'dy_n.$$

We used that u_1 and v_1 are zero on Z_{3T_1}. Analogously

$$\int_{X_{3T_1}} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + \hat{A}_n^{(1)}\right)^2 u_1\overline{v_1}dy'dy_ndt \tag{2.23}$$

$$= \int_{X_{3T_1}} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + \hat{A}_n^{(1)}\right) u_1\overline{\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + \overline{\hat{A}_n^{(1)}}\right)}v_1dydt$$

$$-i\int_{Y_{3T_1}} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + \hat{A}_n^{(1)}\right) u_1\overline{v_1}dy'dt. + i\int_{\Delta_{3T_1}} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + \hat{A}_n^{(1)}\right) u_1\overline{v_1}dy'dt.$$

Analogously, integrating by parts other terms in (2.20) and taking into account that $u_1 = v_1 = 0$ on Z_{3T_1} we get

$$0 = (L_1u_1, v_1) - (u_1, L_1^*v_1) = -\int_{Y_{3T_1}} (u_{1s}\overline{v_1} - u_1\overline{v_{1s}})dy'ds \tag{2.24}$$

$$+\int_{\Delta_{3T_1}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + i\hat{A}_n^{(1)}\right) u_1\overline{v_1} - u_1\overline{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + i\overline{\hat{A}_n^{(1)}}\right) v_1}\ \right] dy'dt.$$

We used in (2.24) the change of variables (2.14) and (2.15). Note that the integrals over Y_{3T_1} containing $\hat{A}_n^{(1)}$ are cancelled. The second integral in (2.24) has the form

$$(\Lambda^{(1)}f, g) - (f, \Lambda_*^{(1)}g), \tag{2.25}$$

where $u_1 = f$ and $v_1 = g$ on Δ_{3T_1}. Denote

$$A_0(u_1, v_1) = \int_{Y_{3T_1}} (u_{1s}\overline{v_1} - u_1\overline{v_{1s}})dy'ds. \tag{2.26}$$

It follows from (2.24), (2.25) that $A_0(u_1,v_1)$ is determined by the D-to-N operator. Integrating by parts in (2.26) we get

$$A_0(u_1,v_1)=2\int_{Y_{3T_1}}u_{1s}\overline{v}dsdy'-\int_{\gamma_{3T_1}}u_1(y',0,T)\overline{v_1(y',0,T)}dy',$$

where $\gamma_{js_0}=\partial Y_{js_0}\cap\{y_n=0\},\ 1\le j\le 3$. Since $u_1(y',0,T)=f(y',T),\ v_1(y',0,T)=g(y',T)$ we get that

$$A(u_1,v_1)\overset{def}{=}2\int_{Y_{3T_1}}u_{1s}\overline{v_1}dy'ds \tag{2.27}$$

is determined by the D-to-N operator on Δ_{3T_1}.

Denote by $\overset{\circ}{H}{}^1(\Delta_{js_0})$ the subspace of the Sobolev space $H^1(\Delta_{js_0})$ consisting of functions equal to zero on $\partial\Delta_{js_0}$ and by $H_0^1(\Delta_{js_0})$ the subspace of $H^1(\Delta_{js_0})$ consisting of functions equal to zero on $\partial\Delta_{js_0}\setminus\{t=T\}, j=1,2,3$. Also denote by $H_0^1(Y_{js_0})$ the subspace of $H^1(Y_{js_0})$ consisting of functions equal to sero on $\partial Y_{js_0}\setminus\{y_n=0\}$ and by $\overset{\circ}{H}{}^1(Y_{1s_0})$ the subspace of $H^1(Y_{1s_0})$ of functions equal to zero on ∂Y_{js_0}. Here $s_0\in[T_1,T)$.

For the convenience we shall often denote by u^f (correspondently v^g) the solutions of $L_1u^f=0,\ u^f\,|_{y_n=0}=f,\ u^f=u_t^f=0$ when $t=T_1$ (correspondently $L_1^*v^g=0,\ v^g\,|_{y_n=0}=g,\ \ v^g=v_t^g=0$ when $t=T_1$).

Denote by R_{js_0} the following subset of $Y_{js_0}:R_{js_0}=\Gamma^{(j)}\times[s_0,T],1\le j\le 3$, where $\Gamma^{(1)}=\Gamma$. Note that $(Y_{1T_1}\cap\{s\ge s_0\})\subset R_{2s_0}\subset Y_{2s_0}\subset R_{3s_0}\subset Y_{3s_0}$ for any $s_0\in[T_1,T)$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any smooth* $f\in H_0^1(\Delta_{1T_1})$ *and any* $s_0\in[T_1,T)$ *there exists* $u_0\in H_0^1(R_{2s_0})$ *such that*

$$A(u^f,v')=A(u_0,v') \tag{2.28}$$

for all $v'\in H_0^1(Y_{3s_0})$.

Proof: It follows from (2.28) that $u_s^f=u_{0s}$ in Y_{3s_0}. Let w_1 be such that $w_{1s}=0$ in $R_{2s_0},\ w_1=u^f$ when $s=s_0,y'\in\Gamma^{(2)}$. Then $u_0=u^f-w_1\in H_0^1(R_{2s_0})$ is the unique solution of (2.28). □

Extend u_0 by zero in $Y_{3s_0}\setminus R_{2s_0}$. Then $u_0\in H_0^1(Y_{3s_0})$.

Lemma 2.2. *For any* $v_j\in H_0^1(R_{js_0})$ *there exists a sequence* $u^{f_{nj}}\in H_0^1(Y_{js_0})$ *that converges to* v_j *in* $H_0^1(Y_{js_0})$. *Here* $j=1,2,3$.

In the case when coefficients of L do not depend on t this lemma was proven in [E1]. Note that the proof in [E1] works also for nonself-adjoint L. In the case of the time-dependent coefficients Lemma 2.2 will be proven in §4. $\square$

Assume that BLR condition is satisfied for $L^{(1)}$ when $t = T_{**}$. Then for any $T > T_{**}$ the map of $f \in H_0^1(\Gamma_0 \times (0,T))$ to $\{u^f(x,T), u_t^f(x,T)\} \in H^1(\Omega) \times L_2(\Omega)$ is onto (see [BLR]). We always assume the zero initial conditions when $t = 0,\ x \in \Omega$.

Note that (c.f. [H])

$$\|u^f(\cdot,T)\|_{1,\Omega} + \|u_t^f(\cdot,T)\|_{0,\Omega}^2 \le C\|f\|_{1,\Gamma_0\times(0,T)}.$$

Therefore by the closed graph theorem we have that

$$\inf_{\mathcal{F}} \|f'\|_{1,\Gamma_0\times(0,T)} \le C(\|u^f(\cdot,T)\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \|u_t^f(\cdot,T)\|_{0,\Omega}^2), \tag{2.29}$$

where $\mathcal{F} \subset H_0^1(\Gamma_0 \times (0,T))$ consists of all f' such that $u^{f'}(x,T) = u^f(x,T)$, $u_t^{f'}(x,T) = u_t^f(x,T),\ x \in \Omega$.

Let $L^{(i)},\ i = 1,2$, be two operators having the same D-to-N operator on $\Gamma_0 \times (0,T),\ T > T_{**}$. Let $X_{js_0}^{(i)},\ Y_{js_0}^{(i)}, j = 1,2,3$ be corresponding to $L_1^{(i)},\ i = 1,2$.

Since $\Lambda^{(1)} = \Lambda^{(2)}$ on Δ_{3s_0} we get that $D^{(1)}(\Delta_{1s_0}) \cap \{y_n = 0\} = D^{(2)}(\Delta_{1s_0}) \cap \{y_n = 0\}$ where $D^{(i)}(\Delta_{1s_0})$ is the forward domain of influence of $L^{(i)}$ in the half-space $y_n \ge 0, i = 1,2$. (see [E1], Lemma 2.4). Therefore $\Gamma_1^{(2)} = \Gamma_2^{(2)}$, i.e. $\Delta_{2s_0}^{(1)} = \Delta_{2s_0}^{(2)}$. Analogously one proves that $\Delta_{3s_0}^{(1)} = \Delta_{3s_0}^{(2)}$. Therefore $\Delta_{js_0}^{(1)} = \Delta_{js_0}^{(2)} = \Delta_{js_0}, j = 1,2,3$.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that $L^{(i)},\ i = 1,2$, satisfy the BLR condition for $T > T_{**}$. Let $u_i^f,\ i = 1,2$, be the solutions of $L_1^{(i)} u_i^f = 0$ in $X_{2s_0}^{(i)}$ such that $u_1^f = u_2^f = f$ on Δ_{2s_0}, supp $f \subset \Delta_{2s_0},\ f \in H_0^1(\Delta_{2s_0}),\ s_0 \in [T_1, T)$. Then there exists constants C_1 and C_2 such that*

$$C_1\|u_1^f\|_{1,Y_{2s_0}^{(1)}} \le \|u_2^f\|_{1,Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}} \le C_2\|u_1^f\|_{1,Y_{2s_0}^{(1)}} \tag{2.30}$$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the same as above. Note that supp $u_1^f(x,T)$ and $u_{1t}^f(x,T)$ are contained in $D(\Delta_{2s_0}) \cap \{t = T\}$. Let $\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4$ be the same as in §4.

Note that $D(\Delta_{2s_0})\cap\{t=T\}\subset\Gamma_4$ and $Y_{2s_0}^{(1)}\subset\Gamma_2$. The following estimate will be proven in §4 (c.f. Lemma 3.1 in [E1]):

$$\begin{aligned}(2.31)\qquad & C_1(\|u_1^f\|_{1,\Gamma_2}^2+\|u_1^f\|_{1,\Gamma_3}^2)\\ & \le \|u_1^f\|_{1,\Gamma_4}^2+\|u_{1t}^f\|_{0,\Gamma_4}^2\le C_2(\|u_1^f\|_{1,\Gamma_2}+\|u_1^f\|_{1,\Gamma_3}^2),\end{aligned}$$

assuming that u_1^f has a compact support in y'. Note that since supp $f\subset\Delta_{2s_0}$ we have that $u_1^f=0$ on Γ_3. Therefore (2.31) implies

$$(2.32)\qquad \|u_1^f\|_{1,\Gamma_4}^2+\|u_{1t}^f\|_{0,\Gamma_4}^2\le C\|u_1^f\|_{1,Y_{2s_0}^{(1)}}.$$

For any $f'\in\mathcal{F}$ we have $u_1^{f'}=u_1^f$, $u_{1t}^{f'}=u_{1t}^f$ on Γ_4. Therefore $u_1^{f'}|_{Y_{2s_0}^{(1)}}=u_1^f|_{Y_{2s_0}^{(1)}}$ by the domain of dependence arguments.

It follows from (2.29) that there exists $f_0\in\mathcal{F}$ such that

$$(2.33)\qquad \|f_0\|_{1,\Gamma_0\times(0,T)}\le C_1(\|u_1^f\|_{1,\Gamma_4}+\|u_{1t}^f\|_{0,\Gamma_4}).$$

Note that the solutions u^f of $L^{(1)}u^f=0$ and u_1^f of $L_1^{(1)}u_1^f=0$ in $D(\Delta_{2s_0})$ are related by (2.19).

Now we shall show that $u_2^{f'}|_{Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}}=u_2^f|_{Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}}$ for all $f'\in\mathcal{F}$. Note that the Green's formula (2.24) holds for any $u_1^{f'}$, v_1^g where $f'\in H_0^1(\Gamma_0\times(0,T_0))$, $g\in H_0^1(\Delta_{3s_0})$, $L_1u_1^{f'}=0$, $L_1^*v_1^g=0$ in $D(\Delta_{3s_0})$, L_1 is the same as in (2.16), $Lu^{f'}=0$ in $\Omega\times(0,T_0)$, $u^{f'}=u_t^{f'}=0$ for $t=0$, $u^{f'}$ and $u_1^{f'}$ are related by (2.19) in $D(\Delta_{3s_0})$. Since $\Lambda^{(1)}=\Lambda^{(2)}$ on $\Gamma_0\times(0,T_0)$ we get from (2.19) that (2.25) is the same for $i=1,2$. Therefore

$$(u_{1s}^{f'},v_1^g)=(u_{2s}^{f'},v_2^g)$$

for all $f'\in\mathcal{F}$ and all $g\in H_0^1(\Delta_{3s_0})$. Since $u_1^{f'}|_{Y_{2s_0}^{(1)}}=u_1^f|_{Y_{2s_0}^{(1)}}$ for all $f'\in\mathcal{F}$ we get that

$$(u_{2s}^{f'},v_2^g)=(u_{2s}^f,v_2^g)$$

for all $g\in H_0^1(\Delta_{3s_0})$. By Lemma 2.2 with $j=3$ $\{v_2^g\}$ are dense in $H_0^1(R_{3s_0}^{(2)})$. Therefore we have that $u_{2s}^{f'}=u_{2s}^f$ in $Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}$ for any $f'\in\mathcal{F}$, since $R_{3s_0}^{(2)}\supset Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}$. Note that $u_2^{f'}|_{t=T}=f'(y',T)$ and $u_1^{f'}(y',0,T)=f'(y',T)$. Therefore $u_2^{f'}|_{t=T}=u_2^f|_{t=T}$ since $u_1^f(y',0,T)=u_1^{f'}(y',0,T)$. Therefore $u_2^{f'}|_{Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}}=u_2^f|_{Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}}$.

Estimate (2.31) is proven in §4 under the assumption that $u_2^{f_0}(y,T),\ u_{2t}^{f_0}(y,T)$ have compact supports in y'. Denote by D_1 the intersection of forward domain of influence of $Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}$ with the plane $t=T,\ y_n \geq 0$. Note that $D_1 \subset \Gamma_4$. Let $\hat{u}_2(y,T),\ \hat{u}_{2t}(y,T)$ be extensions of $u_2^{f_0}(y,T),\ u_{2t}^{f_0}(y,T)$ from D_1 to a neighborhood $\hat{D}_1$ of D_1 in Γ_4 such that

$$\|\hat{u}_2\|_{1,\hat{D}_1}^2 + \|\hat{u}_{2t}\|_{0,\hat{D}_1}^2 \leq 2(\|u_2^{f_0}\|_{1,D_1}^2 + \|u_{2t}^{f_0}\|_{0,D_1}^2),$$

and $\hat{u}_2,\ \hat{u}_{2t}$ are zero outside of $\hat{D}_1$. Applying Lemma 4.1 to $\hat{u}_2, \hat{u}_{2t}$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_2^{f_0}\|_{1,Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}} &\leq C(\|\hat{u}_2\|_{1,\hat{D}_0}^2 + \|\hat{u}_{2t}\|_{0,\hat{D}_0}) \\ &\leq 2C(\|u_2^{f_0}\|_{1,D_0}^2 + \|u_{2t}^{f_0}\|_{0,D_0}) \\ \leq C_1(\|v_2^{f_0}\|_{1,\Omega}^2 &+ \|v_{2t}^{f_0}\|_{0,\Omega}) \leq C_2\|f_0\|_{1,\Gamma_0\times(0,T)}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

where $v_2^{f_0}$ is the solution of $L^{(2)}v_2^{f_0} = 0$ in $\Omega^{(2)} \times (0,T_0)$ corresponding to $f_0 \in H_0^1(\Gamma_0 \times (0,T_0))$, $u_2^{f_0}$ is the solution of $L_1^{(2)}u_2^{f_0} = 0$ in $D(\Delta_{2s_0})$, $u_2^{f_0} = e^{-i\psi^{(2)}}(\hat{g}_2)^{\frac{1}{4}}\varphi_2^{-1} \circ v_2^g$ (see (2.19)). We used in (2.34) that the domain of dependence of $Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}$ intersected with $t=T$ is D_1 and therefore $u_2^{f_0}|_{Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}}$ does not depend on the extensions $\hat{u}_2,\ \hat{u}_{2t}$. Combining (2.32), (2.33) and (2.34) we get the right half of the inequality (2.30).

The inequality $\|u_1^f\|_{1,Y_{2s_0}^{(1)}} \leq C\|u_2^f\|_{1,Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}}$ also holds if we assume that $L^{(2)}$ satisfies the BLR property too. However, to prove Theorem 1.1 we need only BLR condition for $L^{(1)}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose $g \in H_0^1(\Delta_{1T_1})$ is smooth. Let u_0 be the same as in Lemma 2.1. Then the inner product (u_{0s}, v^g) is uniquely determined by the D-to-N operator.*

Note that $(u_{1s}^f, v_1^g) = (u_{2s}^f, v_2^g)$ are determined by D-to-N operator (see (2.24) where $u_i^f,\ v_i^g$ correspond to $L_1^{(i)},\ (L_1^{(i)})^*,\ i=1,2$). Since $\{u_1^f\}, f \in H_0^1(\Delta_{2s_0})$ are dense in $H_0^1(R_{2s_0}^{(1)})$ there exists a sequence $u_1^{f_n}$ convergent to $u_0^{(1)}$ in $H_0^1(Y_{2s_0}^{(1)})$. Here $u_0^{(i)},\ i=1,2$, are the same as in (2.28) for $i=1,2,\ f_n \in H_0^1(\Delta_{2s_0})$. By Lemma 2.3 $\{u_2^{f_n}\}$ converges in $H_0^1(Y_{2s_0}^{(2)})$ to some function $w_2 \in H_0^1(Y_{2s_0}^{(2)})$. Passing to the limit when $n \to \infty$ we get

$$(u_{0s}^{(1)}, v_1^g) = (w_{2s}, v_2^g). \tag{2.35}$$

It follows from (2.28) that $(u_{0s}^{(1)}, v_1^g) = (u_{0s}^{(2)}, v_2^g)$ for any $g \in H_0^1(\Delta_{3s_0})$. Compairing with (2.35) we get $(u_{0s}^{(2)}, v_2^g) = (w_{2s}^{(2)}, v_2^g)$. Since, by Lemma 2.2 with $j = 3$, $\{v_2^g\}$ are dense in $H_0^1(R_{3s_0}^{(2)}) \supset H_0^1(Y_{2s_0}^{(2)})$ we have $w_{2s} = u_{0s}^{(2)}$. Since $w_2 = u_0^{(2)} = 0$ on $\partial Y_{2s_0}^{(2)} \setminus \{t = T\}$ we get $u_0^{(2)} = w_2$ in $Y_{2s_0}^{(2)}$. Therefore $(u_{0s}^{(1)}, v_1^g) = (u_{0s}^{(2)}, v_2^g)$ for all $g \in H_0^1(\Delta_{1T_1})$ since $Y_{1T_1}^{(i)} \cap \{s \geq s_0\} \subset R_{2s_0}^{(i)} \subset Y_{2s_0}^{(i)}, i = 1, 2$, i.e. $A(u_0, v^g) = 2(u_{0s}, v^g)$ is uniquely determined by the D-to-N. □

Therefore $A_1(u^f, v^g) \overset{def}{=} A(u^f, v^g) - A(u_0, v^g)$ is determined by the D-to-N operator when g and f are smooth and belong to $\overset{\circ}{H}{}^1 (\Delta_{1T_1})$.

Since $u_s^f - u_{0s} = 0$ in R_{2s_0} and $u_0 = 0$ in for $s \leq s_0$ we have

$$A_1(u^f, v^g) = 2 \int_{Y_{1T_1} \cap \{s \leq s_0\}} u_s^f \overline{v^g} ds dy' \tag{2.36}$$

and $A_1(u^f, v^g)$ is uniquely determined by the D-to-N operator. □

We shall construct a geometric optics solution of $L_1 u = 0$ of the form (c.f. [E1]) :

$$u = u_N + u^{(N+1)}, \tag{2.37}$$

where

$$u_N = e^{ik(s-s_0)} \sum_{p=0}^{N} \frac{1}{(ik)^p} a_p(s, \tau, y'). \tag{2.38}$$

Substitute u_N in (2.17) we get

$$\frac{\partial a_0}{\partial \tau} - i\hat{A}_n^{(1)} a_0 = 0, \ \ 4\frac{\partial a_p}{\partial \tau} - 4i\hat{A}_n^{(1)} a_p = -L_1 a_{p-1}, \ p \geq 1.$$

We have

$$a_0(s, \tau, y') = a_0(s, y') e^{ib(s,\tau,y')},$$

where $b(s, \tau, y') = \int_{T-s}^{\tau} \hat{A}_n^{(1)} d\tau'$. We choose $a_0(s, y') = \chi_1(s)\chi_2(y')$ where $\chi_1(s) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^1)$, $\chi_1(s) = 1$ for $|s - s_0| < \delta$, $\chi_1(s) = 0$ for $|s - s_0| > 2\delta$, $\chi_2(y') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n-1}} \chi_0(\frac{y - y_0'}{\varepsilon})$, $\chi_0(y') \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})$, $\chi_0(y') = 0$ for $|y'| > \delta$, $\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} \chi_0(y') dy' = 1$, δ is small, $y_0' \in \Gamma$. We define

$$a_p = -\frac{1}{4} e^{ib} \int_{T-s}^{\tau} e^{-ib} L_1 a_{p-1} d\tau', \ \ 1 \leq p \leq N,$$

and we define $u^{(N+1)}$ as a solution of

$$L_1 u^{(N+1)} = -\frac{1}{4^N (ik)^N}(L_1 a_N) e^{ik(s-s_0)},$$

$u^{(N+1)} = u_t^{(N+1)} = 0$ when $t = T_1,\ u^{(N+1)} = 0$ when $y_n = 0$. Since supp u_N is contained in a small neighborhood of the line $\{s = s_0, y' = y_0'\}$ we have that $u_N + u^{(N+1)} = u_{Nt} + u_t^{(N+1)} = 0$ when $t = T_1,\ y_n > 0$ and supp $(u_N + u^{(N+1)}) \cap \{y_n = 0\} \subset \Delta_{1T_1}$.

Substituting (2.37) in (2.36) we get that the principal term in k has the form

$$ik \int_{Y_{1T_1} \cap \{s \leq s_0\}} e^{ik(s-s_0)} \chi_1(s)\chi_2(y') e^{ib}\overline{v^g} dy' ds$$

Note that $\tau = 0$ on Y_{1T_1}. Integrating by parts in s, taking the limit when $k \to \infty$ and then taking the limit when $\varepsilon \to 0$ we get that $e^{ib(s_0,0,y_0')}\overline{v^g(s_0,0,y_0')}$ is determined by the D-to-N operator. Here $(s_0, 0, y_0') \in \Gamma \times [T_1, T] \subset Y_{1T_1}$ is arbitrary. Replacing T by $T',\ T' \in (T_1, T]$ we can determine $e^{ib}\overline{v^g(s,\tau,y')}$ for any $(s,\tau,y') \in X_0$ where $X_0 = \{y' \in \Gamma, T_1 \leq s + \tau \leq T\}$.

Since we assumed that $v^g = 0$ on ∂Y_{1T_1} we get, integrating by parts, that

$$A(u^f, v^g) = 2\int_{Y_{1T_1}} u_s^f \overline{v^g} ds dy' = -2\int_{Y_{1T_1}} u^f \overline{v_s^g} ds dy'.$$

Analogously to the proof of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4 one can prove that the integral

$$\int_{Y_{1T_1} \cap \{s \leq s_0\}} u^f \overline{v_s^g} ds dy' \tag{2.39}$$

is uniquely determined by the D-to-N operator.

Substitute the geometric optics solution (2.37) in (2.39). Then integrating by parts in k, taking the limit when $k \to \infty$ and then the limit when $\varepsilon \to 0$ we get that $e^{ib}\overline{v_s^g}$ is determined by the D-to-N operator for any $(s,\tau,y') \in X_0$. Since we know $e^{ib}\overline{v^g}$ we know the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(e^{ib}\overline{v^g})$. We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(e^{ib}\overline{v^g}) = e^{ib}\overline{v_s^g} + ib_s e^{ib}\overline{v^g}. \tag{2.40}$$

Therefore we can find b_s on the set $\{v^g \neq 0\}$. Since, by Lemma 2.2 for $j = 1$, $\{v^g\},\ g \in C_0^\infty(\Delta_{1T_1})$, are dense in $\overset{o}{H}{}^1\ (R_{1T_1})$, where $R_{1T_1} = \Gamma \times [T_1, T]$, the union of all sets $\{v^g \neq 0\}$, $g \in C_0^\infty(\Delta_{1T_1})$, is dense in R_{1T_1}. Therefore since b_s is smooth we can recover b_s on R_{1T_1}. Replacing T by $T' \in (T_1, T]$ we can recover b_s on X_0. Since $b = 0$ when $y_n = 0$ we can find b and consequently v^g. Finally, $\hat{A}_n^{(1)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} b$.

Therefore the D-to-N operator determines $\hat{A}_n^{(1)}(y,t)$ and v^g in X_0 for all smooth $g \in \overset{\circ}{H}{}^1 (\Delta_{1T_1})$ where v^g is the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} &L_1^* v^g = \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \overline{\hat{A}_n^{(1)}}\right)^2 v^g - \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + \overline{\hat{A}_n^{(1)}}\right)^2 v^g \\ &- \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \overline{\hat{A}_j^{(1)}}(y,t)\right) \hat{g}^{jk}(y) \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} + \overline{\hat{A}_k^{(1)}}(y,t)\right) v^g - \overline{\hat{V}}_1(y,t) v^g = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

We can rewrite (2.41) in the form (see (2.17))

$$\begin{aligned} &- \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \hat{g}^{jk}(y) v^g_{y_j y_k}(s,\tau,y') + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} B_j(s,\tau,y') v^g_{y_j} \\ &+ C(s,\tau,y') v^g(s,\tau,y') = 4 v^g_{st} - 4i\overline{\hat{A}_n^{(1)}} v^g_s(s,\tau,y'), \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

where B_j, C depend on $\hat{g}^{jk}, \hat{A}_j^{(1)}, \hat{V}_1$.

Consider the restriction of (2.42) to R_{1T_1}, i.e. when $\tau = 0$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\{v^g\}$, $g \in \overset{\circ}{H}{}^1 (\Delta_{1T_1})$, are dense in $\overset{\circ}{H}{}^1 (R_{1T_1})$. Pick arbitrary $v \in C_0^\infty(R_{1T_1})$. Then there exists $v^{g_n} \in H^1(R_{1T_1})$ and smooth such that $v^{g_n} \to v_0$ in $H^1(R_{1T_1})$. Therefore $v^{g_n} \to v$ weakly in R_{1T_1}, i.e. $(v^{g_n}, \varphi) \to (v, \varphi)$ for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(R_{1T_1})$. Denote by $L_2 v^g$ and f^g the left hand side and the right hand side of (2.42). Since $v^{g_n} \to v$ weakly we get that $L_2 v^{g_n} \to L_2 v$ weakly. Therefore $f^{g_n} = L_2 v^{g_n}$ converges weakly to $f \overset{def}{=} L_2 v$. Note that f^{g_n} is known since v^{g_n} and $\hat{A}_n^{(1)}$ are known. Therefore we know f. For any point (s_0, y_0') we can find $M = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + n - 1 + 1 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ C_0^∞ functions $v_1, ..., v_M$ such that the determinant $D(v_1, ..., v_M)$ of the system (2.42) is not zero at (s_0, y_0'). Since $f_k = L_2 v_k, k = 1, ..., M$, are known at (s_0, y_0') we can uniquely determine $\hat{g}^{jk}(y_0), B_j(s_0, 0, y_0'), C(s_0, 0, y_0')$. Since $(s_0, 0, y_0')$ is an arbitrary point of R_{1T_1} we can find $\hat{g}^{jk}(y)$, $\hat{A}_j^{(1)}$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, $\hat{V}_1$ on R_{1T_1}.

Analoguosly, considering the intersection of X_0 with arbitrary plane $\tau = \tau_0$, where $0 \leq \tau_0 \leq T - T_1$ we can determine the coefficients of L_1^* in X_0.

Therefore we proved the following theorem :

Theorem 2.1. *The D-to-N operator on* $\Gamma_0 \times (0,T)$ *uniquely determines the coefficients of* L_1 *in* $X_0 = \{y' \in \Gamma, T_1 \le s+\tau \le T\}$.

3 The global step.

The proofs in this section are similar to the proofs in [E1]. Therefore we will be brief.

Note that $D_0 = \Gamma \times [0, \frac{T-T_1}{2}]$ is the projection of X_0 on the plane $\{t=0\}$. Since the coefficients of L_1 are analytic in t the coefficients in X_0 determine the coefficients of L_1 in $D_0 \times (0,T_0)$. We have the following result:

Lemma 3.1. *The D-to-N operator on* $\Gamma_0 \times (0,T)$ *determines the coefficients of* L_1 *in* $D_0 \times (0,T_0)$.

Let $L^{(p)},\ p=1,2$, be two operators of the form (1.1) in domains $\Omega^{(p)} \times (0,T_0),\ p=1,2$. Suppose $\Gamma_0 \subset \partial\Omega^{(1)} \cap \partial\Omega^{(2)}$ and $\Lambda^{(1)} = \Lambda^{(2)}$ on $\Gamma_0 \times (0,T_0)$ where $\Lambda^{(p)}$ are the D-to-N operators corresponding to $L^{(p)},\ p=1,2$.

Let $y=\varphi_p(x)$ be the same as in (2.1), $p=1,2$. Denote $D^{(p)} = \varphi_p^{-1}(D_0) \subset \Omega^{(p)}$. Then $\varphi = \varphi_1^{-1} \circ \varphi_2$ is a diffeomorphism of $\overline{D^{(2)}}$ onto $\overline{D^{(1)}}$. Let $L_1^{(p)} u_1^{(p)} = 0$ in $D_0 \times (0,T)$ where $L_1^{(p)}$ be the same as in (2.16) for $p=1,2$. Note that $u_1^{(p)}(y,t)$ are related to $u^{(p)}(x,t)$ where $L^{(p)}u^{(p)} = 0$ by the formula (2.19) for $p=1,2$. It follows from Remark 2.2 in [E1] that $\hat{g}_1(y',0) = \hat{g}_2(y',0)$. Therefore there exists $\hat{c}(y,t) \in G_0(D_0 \times (0,T_0))$, analytic in t, such that $u^{(1)}(\varphi_1^{-1}(y),t) = \hat{c}(y,t)u^{(2)}(\varphi_2^{-1}(y),t)$ for $(y,t) \in \overline{D_0} \times (0,T_0)$. Extend $\varphi(x)$ from $\overline{D^{(2)}}$ to $\overline{\Omega^{(2)}}$ preserving the property that $\varphi = I$ on Γ_0 and φ is a diffeomorphism of $\overline{\Omega^{(2)}}$ onto $\overline{\Omega_0} \overset{def}{=} \overline{\varphi(\Omega^{(2)}}$. The existence of such extension follows from [Hi], Chapter 8. Let $c \in G_0(\Omega_0 \times (0,T_0))$ be the extension analytic in t of $\hat{c}(\varphi_2^{-1}(x),t)$ from $\overline{D^{(2)}} \times [0,T_0]$ to $\overline{\Omega_0} \times [0,T_0]$. Then $L_0 \overset{def}{=} c \circ \varphi \circ L^{(2)}$ is a differential operator in $\Omega_0 \times (0,T_0)$ such that $L_0 = L^{(1)}$ in $D^{(1)} \times (0,T_0)$. Let $B \subset D^{(1)}$ be a domain homeomorphic to a ball, $\overline{B} \cap \partial\Omega^{(1)} \overset{def}{=} S_1 \subset \Gamma$ and connected. Let $\Omega_1 = \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{B}$ and let $S_2 = \partial B \setminus \overline{S_1}$. We assume that $\partial\Omega_1$ is smooth. Denote by Λ_0 the D-to-N operator corresponding to L_0. Let $\delta = \max_{x\in B} d(x,\Gamma)$ where $d(x,\Gamma)$ is the distance in $\overline{B}$ from $x \in \overline{B}$ to Γ.

Consider $L_0, L^{(1)}$ and the corresponding D-to-N operators $\Lambda_0, \Lambda^{(1)}$ in domains $(\Omega_0 \setminus \overline{B}) \times (\delta, T_0-\delta),\ (\Omega^{(1)} \setminus \overline{B}) \times (\delta, T_0-\delta)$, respectively.

Lemma 3.2. *If* $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda^{(1)}$ *on* $\Gamma_0 \times (0,T_0)$ *then* $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda^{(1)}$ *on* $\Gamma_1 \times (\delta, T_0-\delta)$ *where* $\Gamma_1 = (\Gamma_0 \setminus S_1) \cup S_2$.

Note that $\partial\Omega_1 \setminus \gamma \subset \partial(\Omega^{(1)} \setminus \overline{B})$ since $\Gamma_0 \subset \partial\Omega_0 \cap \partial\Omega^{(1)}$ and $B \subset \Omega_0 \cap \Omega^{(1)}$. The proof of Lemma 3.2 is the same as the proof of Lemma 3.3 in [E1] (c.f [KKL1], Lemma 9). The proof uses the Tataru's uniqueness theorem [T], and this requires the analyticity of the coefficients in t.

Using repeatedly Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we get a domain $\Omega^{(0)} \subset \Omega^{(1)}$, $\partial\Omega^{(0)} \cap \partial\Omega^{(1)} = \Gamma_0$, a diffeomorphism φ_2 of $\overline{\Omega}^{(2)}$ onto $\overline{\tilde{\Omega}}^{(2)} \overset{def}{=} \varphi_2(\overline{\Omega}^{(2)})$ and a gauge transformation $\tilde{c}$ such that $\varphi_2 = I$ on Γ_0, $\tilde{\Omega}^{(2)} \supset \Omega^{(0)}$, $\tilde{c} = 1$ on $\Gamma_0 \times (0, T_0)$ and $\tilde{c} \circ \varphi_2 \circ L^{(2)} = L^{(1)}$ in $\Omega^{(0)} \times (0, T_0)$. Moreover $\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)} = \Lambda^{(1)}$ on $\partial\Omega^{(0)} \times (T_0', T_0 - T_0')$ for some $T_0' \in (0, \frac{T_*}{2})$ where $\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}$ is the D-to-N operator corresponding to $\tilde{L}^{(2)} \overset{def}{=} \tilde{c} \circ \varphi_2 \circ L^{(2)}$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 we need two more lemmas.

Let γ_0 be an open subset of $\partial\Omega^{(0)} \setminus \overline{\Gamma_0}$ that is close to $\partial\Omega^{(1)} \setminus \overline{\Gamma_0}$ and $\partial\tilde{\Omega}^{(2)} \setminus \overline{\Gamma_0}$ with respect to the corresponding metrics. Let Δ_1 be the union of all geodesics in $\overline{\Omega}^{(1)} \setminus \Omega^{(0)}$ starting at γ_0, orthogonal to γ_0 and ending on $\partial\Omega^{(1)}$. Analogously, let $\tilde{\Delta}_2$ be the union of such geodesics in $\overline{\tilde{\Omega}}^{(2)} \setminus \Omega^{(0)}$. We assume that the semi-geodesic coordinates can be introduced in Δ_1 and $\tilde{\Delta}_2$, respectively. Denote $\gamma_1 = \overline{\Delta_1} \cap \partial\Omega^{(1)}$, $\tilde{\gamma}_2 = \overline{\tilde{\Delta}}_2 \cap \partial\tilde{\Omega}^{(2)}$.

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi^{(0)}$ of $\overline{\tilde{\Delta}}_2$ onto $\overline{\Delta_1}$ and a gauge $c^{(0)}$ on $\overline{\Delta_1} \times (0, T_0)$ such that $\varphi^{(0)}(\tilde{\gamma}_2) = \gamma_1$, $\varphi^{(0)} = I$ on γ_0, $c^{(0)} = 1$ on $\overline{\gamma}_0 \times (0, T_0)$ and $c^{(0)} \circ \varphi^{(0)} \circ \tilde{L}^{(2)} = L^{(1)}$ in $\overline{\Delta_1} \times (0, T_0)$.*

□

Consider a situation when there exists a part Γ_1 of $\partial\Omega^{(0)}$ that is close to a different part of $\partial\Omega^{(0)}$. Denote by D_1 the union of all geodesics in $\overline{\Omega^{(1)}} \setminus \Omega^{(0)}$ starting on Γ_1, orthogonal to Γ_1 and ending on a set $\Gamma_2 \subset \partial\Omega^{(0)}$. Analogously let D_2 be the union of the geodesics in $\overline{\tilde{\Omega}^{(2)}} \setminus \Omega^{(0)}$, starting at Γ_1, orthogonal to Γ_1 and ending on some set $\Gamma_2' \subset \partial\Omega^{(0)}$.

As before we assume that the semi-geodesic coordinates are introduced in D_1 and D_2.

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a diffeomorphism ψ of $\overline{D_2}$ onto $\overline{D_1}$ and a gauge c on $\overline{D_1} \times (0, T_0)$ such that $\psi = I$ on Γ_1, $\psi = I$ on Γ_2, in particular, $\Gamma_2' = \Gamma_2$, $c = 1$ on $\Gamma_1 \times (0, T_0)$, and on $\Gamma_2 \times (0, T_0)$. Moreover, $c \circ \psi \circ L^{(2)} = L^{(1)}$ in $D_1 \times (0, T_0)$.*

The proofs of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 are the same as of corresponding results in [E1]. Combining Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 we prove Theorem 1.1 (c.f. [E1]).

4 Proof of Lemma 2.2.

Denote by Δ_1 a domain in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ bounded by three planes : $\Gamma_2 = \{\tau = T - t - y_n = 0,\ 0 \le y_n \le \frac{T-T_1}{2}\}$, $\Gamma_3 = \{s = t - y_n = T_1,\ \frac{T+T_1}{2} \le t \le T\}$, $\Gamma_4 = \{t = T,\ 0 \le y_n \le T - T_1\}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = H^1(\Gamma_4) \times L_2(\Gamma_4)$ and let $\mathcal{H}_1$ be the space of pairs $\{\varphi, \psi\}$, $\varphi \in H^1(\Gamma_2)$, $\psi = H^1(\Gamma_3)$, $\varphi = \psi$ when $t = \frac{T+T_1}{2}$, with the norm $\|\{\varphi, \psi\}\|_1^2 = \|\varphi\|_{1,\Gamma_2}^2 + \|\psi\|_{1,\Gamma_3}^2$. We shall assume that all functions have a compact support in $y' \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$.

The following lemma is an extension of Lemma 3.1 in [E1] :

Lemma 4.1. *For any* $\{v_0, v_1\} \in \mathcal{H}_1$ *there exists* $\{w_0, w_1\} \in \mathcal{H}$ *and* $u \in H^1(\Delta_1)$ *such that* $L_1 u = 0$ *in* Δ_1, $u\,|_{\Gamma_2} = v_0$, $u\,|_{\Gamma_3} = v_1$, $u\,|_{\Gamma_4} = w_0$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\,|_{\Gamma_4} = w_1$. *And vice versa, for any* $\{w_0, w_1\} \in \mathcal{H}$ *there exists* $\{v_0, v_1\} \in \mathcal{H}_1$ *and* $u \in H^1(\Delta_1)$ *with the same properties. The iinequalities (4.2) and (4.3) hold.*

Proof: Let $\Delta_{1,T'}$ be the domain bounded by Γ_2, Γ_3 and $\Gamma_{4,T'}$ where $\Gamma_{4,T'}$ is the plane $\{t = T'\}$, $T' \in [\frac{T+T_1}{2}, T]$. Let $\|u\|_{1,T'}^2 = \|u_t\|_{0,\Gamma_{4,T'}}^2 + \|u\|_{1,\Gamma_{4,T'}}^2$. Denote by $(u, v)_{\Delta_{1,T'}}$ the L_2-inner product in $\Delta_{1,T'}$. Let u be smooth in $\overline{\Delta_{1,T}}$, has compact support in y' and $L_1 u = 0$ in $\Delta_{1,T}$. Integrating by parts $0 = (L_1 u, u_t)_{\Delta_{1,T'}} + (u_t, L_1 u)_{\Delta_{1,T'}}$ over $\Delta_{1,T'}$ (c.f. (3.1), (3.2) in [E1]) we get

$$\|u\|_{1,T'}^2 \le C(\|u|_{\Gamma_{2,T'}}\|_{1,\Gamma_{2,T'}}^2 + \|u|_{\Gamma_{3,T'}}\|_{1,\Gamma_{3,T'}}^2 + \int_{\frac{T+T_1}{2}}^{T'} \|u\|_{1,t}^2 dt), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Gamma_{2,T'}, \Gamma_{3,T'}$ are parts of Γ_2, Γ_3 respectively where $t \le T'$. Since $T - T_1$ is small we get

$$\max_{T' \in [\frac{T}{2}, T]} \|u\|_{1,T'}^2 \le C_1(\|u\|_{1,\Gamma_{2,T}}^2 + \|u\|_{2,\Gamma_{3,T}}^2), \tag{4.2}$$

where $\Gamma_{2,T} = \Gamma_2$, $\Gamma_{3,T} = \Gamma_3$. In particular,

$$\|u\|_{1,\Gamma_4}^2 + \|u_t\|_{0,\Gamma_4}^2 \le C(\|u\|_{1,\Gamma_2}^2 + \|u\|_{1,\Gamma_3}^2).$$

The reverse inequality also holds:

$$\|u\|_{1,\Gamma_2}^2 + \|u\|_{1,\Gamma_3}^2 \le C(\|u\|_{1,\Gamma_4}^2 + \|u_t\|_{0,\Gamma_4}^2), \tag{4.3}$$

where $L_1 u = 0$ in Δ_1, u is smooth.

To prove this denote by $\Gamma_{2,\tau'}$ the intersection of $\overline{\Delta_1}$ with the plane $\tau = \tau'$, $0 \le -\tau' \le T - T_1$ and denote by $\Gamma_{3,s'}$ the intersection of $\overline{\Delta_1}$ with the plane

$s = s'$, $T_1 \leq s' \leq T$. Integrating by parts $0 = (L_1 u, u_t)_{\Delta_{s'}} + (u_t, L_1 u)_{\Delta_{s'}}$ we get, as in (4.1) (c.f. [E1]),

$$\|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_{3,s'}} + \|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_{2,s'}} \leq C(\|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_4} + \|u_t\|^2_{0,\Gamma_4} + I_1), \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_{\Delta_{s'}} \left(|u_s|^2 + |u_\tau|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |u_{y_j}|^2 + |u|^2 \right) dy' ds d\tau.$$

Here $\Delta_{s'}$ is the part of Δ_1 where $s > s'$ and $\Gamma_{2,s'}$ is the part of Γ_2 where $s > s'$. Let $\Delta_{\tau'}$ be the part of Δ_1 where $\tau < \tau'$. Then integrating by parts $0 = (L_1 u, u_t)_{\Delta_{\tau'}} + (u_t, L_1 u)_{\Delta_{\tau'}}$ we get

$$\|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_{2,\tau'}} + \|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_{3,\tau'}} \leq C(\|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_4} + \|u_t\|^2_{0,\Gamma_4} + I_2), \tag{4.5}$$

where I_2 is the same as I_1 with $\Delta_{s'}$ replaced by $\Delta_{\tau'}$, $\Gamma_{3,\tau'}$ is the part of Γ_3, where $\tau < \tau'$. Since

$$I_1 + I_2 \leq C(T - T_1)(\max_{s'} \|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_{3,s'}} + \max_{\tau'} \|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_{2,\tau'}},$$

we get from (4.4), (4.5)

$$\max_{s'} \|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_{3,s'}} + \max_{\tau'} \|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_{2,\tau'}}$$

$$\leq C_1(T - T_1)(\max_{s'} \|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_{3,s'}} + \max_{\tau'} \|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_{2,\tau'}}) + C(\|u\|^2_{1,\Gamma_4} + \|u_t\|^2_{0,\Gamma_4}).$$

Since $T - T_1$ is small we get (4.3).

Let $\{\varphi_0, \psi_0\}$ be a smooth pair belonging to $\mathcal{H}_1$. Define $b(y', y_n, t) = \varphi_0(s, y') + \psi_0(\tau, y') - \varphi_0(0, y')$. Note that $\varphi_0(0, y') = \psi_0(0, y')$, $b|_{\Gamma_2} = \varphi_0(s, y'), b|_{\Gamma_3} = \psi_0(\tau, y')$.

Since $b(y', y_n, t)$ is smooth in $\overline{\Delta_1}$ we have that $L_1 b$ is smooth in $\overline{\Delta_1}$. Let $f = -L_1 b$ in $\overline{\Delta_1}$, $f = 0$ otherwise. Then $f = 0$ for $t < \frac{T-T_1}{2}$, $\int_{\frac{T+T_1}{2}}^{T} \|f\|_{0,\Gamma_{4,t}} dt < +\infty$. Let u_0 be the solution of $L_1 u_0 = f$ when $t > \frac{T+T_1}{2}$ with zero initial conditions when $t = \frac{T+T_1}{2}$. It is well-known (see, for example, [H]) that there exists a unique such u_0 and

$$\|u_0\|_{1,T} \leq C \int_{\frac{T+T_1}{2}}^{T} \|f\|_{0,\Gamma_{4,t}} dt. \tag{4.6}$$

Since u_0 has zero initial conditions when $t = \frac{T+T_1}{2}$ and $f = 0$ outside of Δ_1 we get by the domain of dependence argument that $u_0 = 0$ outside $\overline{\Delta_1},\ t \le T$. Since the restrictions of u_0 on the planes $s =$ const and $\tau =$ const, $t \le T$, are continuous in s and τ respectively we get that

$$u_0|_{\Gamma_2} = 0, \quad u_0|_{\Gamma_3} = 0.$$

Denote $u = b + u_0$. Then

$$L_1 u = 0 \quad \text{in} \ \ \Delta_1, \tag{4.7}$$

$$u|_{\Gamma_2} = \varphi_0, \quad u|_{\Gamma_3} = \psi_0 \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\{u, u_t\}|_{\Gamma_4} \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{4.9}$$

Let $\{v_0, v_1\} \in \mathcal{H}_1$ be arbitrary. Take a sequence $\{\varphi_n, \psi_n\} \in \mathcal{H}_1$ of smooth functions such that $\{\varphi_n, \psi_n\} \to \{v_0, v_1\}$ in $\mathcal{H}_1$. Let u_n be a sequence such that (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) hold with φ_0, ψ_0 replaced by φ_n, ψ_n. Then (4.2) implies that u_n converges to u in $\max_{\frac{T+T_1}{2} \le T' \le T} \|u\|_{1,T'}$ norm and $L_1 u = 0$ in $\Delta_1,\ u|_{\Gamma_2} = v_0,\ u|_{\Gamma_3} = v_1,\ \{w_0, w_1\} \in \mathcal{H}$ where $w_0 = u|_{\Gamma_4},\ w_1 = u_t|_{\Gamma_4}$.

Therefore the map $\{v_0, v_1\} \to \{w_0, w_1\}$ is a bounded map of $\mathcal{H}_1$ to $\mathcal{H}$. Take any smooth pair $\{\varphi^{(0)}, \psi^{(0)}\} \in \mathcal{H}$. Solving the Cauchy problem with the inithial data $\{\varphi^{(0)}, \psi^{(0)}\}$ (see, for example, [H]) we get a smooth pair in $\mathcal{H}_1$. Therefore the image of the map $\mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}$ is dense. Using the estimate (4.3) we get that the map $\mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}$ in one-to-one and onto. ☐

Denote by Δ_2 the domain bounded by the plane $\Gamma_2' = \{\tau = T - y_n - t = 0,\ T_1 < t < T\}$, by the plane $\{y_n = 0,\ T_1 < t < T\}$ and by the plane $\{t = T_1,\ 0 < y_n < T - T_1\}$. Let Γ_∞ be the plane $\{\tau = 0\}$. Denote by $\overset{\circ}{H}{}^{-1}(\Gamma_2') \subset H^{-1}(\Gamma_\infty)$ the Sobolev space of distributions in Γ_∞ having supports in $\overline{\Gamma_2'}$ and by $H^{-1}(\Gamma_2')$ the space of the restrictions of distributions from $H^{-1}(\Gamma_\infty)$ to Γ_2'.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $h \in H^{-1}(\Gamma_2')$ be arbitrary. There exists a distribution u in Δ_2 such that $L_1 u = 0$ in Δ_2, u has a restriction to $y_n = 0$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}$ has a restriction to Γ_2' and $u|_{y_n=0} = 0,\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}|_{\Gamma_2'} = h$.*

Proof: Let $h_0 \in H^{-1}(\Gamma_\infty)$ be an extension of h to Γ_∞. We always can choose h_0 such that supp $h_0 \subset \overline{\Gamma_2'}$, i.e. $h_0 \in \overset{\circ}{H}{}^{-1}(\Gamma_2')$. Let $v(s, y')$ be such that $v = 0$ for $s > T - T_1$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial s} = h_0$. We have that $v \in H^{0,-1}$, i.e. v belongs to L_2 in s and v belongs to H^{-1} in y'.

Denote by Δ_2^- the reflection of Δ_2 with respect to the plane $y_n = 0$ and let $\Delta_3 = \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_2^- \cup \{y_n = 0, T_1 < t < T\}$. Extend for $y_n < 0$ the coefficient before $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}$ in (2.16) as an odd function in Δ_3 and extend the remaining coefficients of L_1 as even functions. We shall construct an odd distribution solution of $L_1 u = 0$ in Δ_3. Then automatically $u|_{y_n=0} = 0$. Note that any distribution solution of $L_1 u = 0$ in Δ_3 is continuously differentiable in y_n as a function of y_n with the values that are distributions in (y', t). This is a consequence of the fact that $y_n = 0$ is not a characteristic plane. Therefore the restrictions $u|_{y_n=0}$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_n}|_{y_n=0}$ exist.

Denote by $\hat{\Gamma}_2'$ the reflection of Γ_2' with respect to $y_n = 0$ and let $v_1(\tau, y')$ on $\hat{\Gamma}_2'$ be such that $v(s, y') + v_1(\tau, y') = 0$ when $y_n = 0$, i.e. $v + v_1$ is odd with respect to y_n in Δ_3. We shall look for the solution $L_1 u = 0$ in the form

$$u = v(s, y') + v_1(\tau, y') + w.$$

Then w satisfies the equation $L_1 w = g$, where $g = -L_1(v + v_1)$. Note that L_1 has the form (2.17) for $y_n > 0$. Therefore $g = g_1 + g_2$, where $g_1 = 4i\hat{A}_n v_s$ for $y_n > 0$, g_1 is odd in y_n, and $g_2 = -L_1'(v + v_1)$ where L_1' is a differential operator in y' of order 2. Since v and v_1 belong to L_2 in s and τ and to H^{-1} in y' we have that $g_2 \in H^{0,-3}$, i.e. g_2 belongs to L_2 in s and τ and to H^{-3} in y'. Denote by g_{20} the extension of g_2 by zero outside of Δ_3 for $t > T_1$. Let w_2 be the solution of the Cauchy problem $L_1 w_2 = g_{20}$ for $t > T_1$, $w_2 = 0$ for $t > T$. Since $g_{20} \in H^{0,-3}$ there exists a unique solution $w_2 \in H^{1,-3}$ (see, for example, [E4] or [H]). Note that w_2 is odd in y_n since g_{20} is odd. Since $w_2 = 0$ for $t > T$ we get that $w_2|_{\Gamma_2'} = 0$, $w_2|_{\hat{\Gamma}_2'} = 0$ by the domain of dependence argument.

Denote by g_{10} the odd extension in y_n of $g_1\theta(\tau)$ given for $y_n > 0$ where $\theta(\tau) = 1$ for $\tau > 0$, $\theta(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau < 0$. Then $g_{10} = 0$ outside of Δ_3 for $t > T_1$ since $v(s, y') = 0$ for $s > T - T_1$. Let w_1 be the unique odd solution of the Cauchy problem $L_1 w_1 = g_{10}$ for $t > T_1$, $w_1 = 0$ for $t > T$ (see [H] or [E4]).

By the domain of dependence argument and since w_1 is continuous in τ near Γ_2' we get that $w_1|_{\Gamma_2'} = 0$. Therefore $\frac{\partial w_1}{\partial s}|_{\Gamma_2'} = 0$. Therefore $u = v(s, y') + v_1(\tau, y') + w_1 + w_2$ satisfies $L_1 u = 0$ in Δ_2, $u|_{y_n=0} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial s} = h$ on Γ_2' since $\frac{\partial w_1}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial s} = 0$ on Γ_2'. $\square$

Now we are ready to conclude the proof of Lemma 2.2. As in [E1] (see the beginning of §3 in [E1]), in order to prove that $\{v^g\}, \ g \in H_0^1(\Delta_{js_0})$, are dense in $H_0^1(R_{js_0}), j = 1, 2, 3$, it is enough to prove that $\{v^g\}, \ g \in C_0^\infty(\Delta_{js_0})$, are dense in $\overset{\circ}{H}{}^1 \ (R_{js_0})$. Fix, for definiteness, $j = 3$. Suppose that there exists $v_1 \in \overset{\circ}{H}{}^1 \ (R_{3s_0})$ and $h \in H^{-1}(Y_{3s_0})$ such that $(h, v^g) = 0$ for all $g \in C_0^\infty(\Delta_{3s_0})$ and $(h, v_1) = 1$. Note that (h, v), where $v \in \overset{\circ}{H}{}^1 \ (\Gamma_2')$, is understood as (lh, v), where $lh \in H^{-1}(\Gamma_\infty)$ is an arbitrary extension of h.

Let u be the same as in Lemma 4.2. Applying Green formula (2.24) to u and v^g we get

$$0 = 2(\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}, v^g) = \int_{\Delta_{3s_0}} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y_n} \overline{g} dy' dt. \tag{4.10}$$

To justify the Green formula (4.10) one can take a sequence $h_n \in C_0^\infty(\Gamma_2')$ such that $h_n \to h_0$ in $\overset{\circ}{H}{}^{-1} \ (\Gamma_2')$, where h_0 is an extension of h. By Lemma 4.2 there exists u_n such that $L_1 u_n = 0$ in $\Delta_2, \ u_n|_{y_n=0} = 0, \ \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial s}|_{\Gamma_2'} = h_n$. Note that $(u_{ns}, v^g) = -(u_n, \frac{\partial v^g}{\partial s})$ since $v^g = 0$ on ∂Y_{1s_0} and

$$2(u_{ns}, v^g) = \int_{\Delta_{3s_0}} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial y_n} \overline{g} dy' dt \tag{4.11}$$

Passing to the limit in (4.11) we get (4.10). Since $g \in C_0^\infty(\Delta_{3s_0})$ is arbitrary we get that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_n} = 0$ in Δ_{3s_0}. Extend u by zero for $y_n < 0, \ s_0 < t < T$. By the Tataru's uniqueness theorem [T] (note that the theorem holds when u is a distribution too [T1]) we get $u = 0$ in the double cone of influence of Δ_{3s_0}. In particular, $h = \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} = 0$ on the interior of R_{3s_0}. Therefore $(h, v_1) = 0$ since supp $v_1 \subset \overline{R}_{3s_0}$ and this contradicts the assumption that $(h, v_1) = 1$. The proof for $j = 1, 2$ is identical. $\square$

References

[BLR] Bardos, C., Lebeau, G. and Rauch, J., 1992, Sharp sufficient conditions for the observation, control and stabilization of waves from the boundary, SIAM J. Contr. Opt. 30, 1024-1065

[B1] Belishev, M., 1997, Boundary control in reconstruction of manifolds and metrics (the BC method), Inverse Problems 13, R1-R45

[B2] Belishev, M., 2002, How to see waves under the Earthsurface (the BC-method for geophysicists), Ill -Posed and Inverse Problems, 55-72 (S.Kabanikhin and V.Romanov (Eds), VSP)

[B3] Belishev, M., 1997, On the uniqueness of the reconstruction of lower-order terms of the wave equation from dynamic boundary data, (Russian), Zapiski Nauchnih Seminarov POMI, 29, 55-76

[E1] Eskin, G., 2005, A new approach to the hyperbolic inverse problems, ArXiv:math.AP/0505452

[E2] Eskin, G., 2005, Inverse problems for Schrödinger equations with Yang-Mills potentials in domains with obstacles and the Aharonov-Bohm effect, Institute of Physics Conference Series 12, 23-32, ArXiv:math.AP/0505554

[E3] Eskin, G., 2004, Inverse boundary value problems in domains with several obstacles, Inverse Problems 20, 1497-1516

[E4] Eskin, G., 1987, Mixed initial-boundary value problems for second order hyperbolic equations, Comm. in PDE, 12, 503-87

[H] Hormander, L., 1985, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III (Berlin: Springer)

[Hi] Hirsch, M., 1976, Differential Topology (New York:Springer)

[I] Isakov, V., 1998, Inverse problems for partial differential equations, Appl. Math. Studies, vol. 127, Springer, 284 pp.

[KKL] Katchalov, A., Kurylev, Y., Lassas, M., 2001, Inverse boundary spectral problems (Boca Baton : Chapman&Hall)

[KKL1] Katchalov, A., Kurylev, Y., Lassas, M., 2004, Energy measurements and equivalence of boundary data for inverse problems on noncompact manifolds, IMA Volumes, v.137, 183-214

[KK] Katchalov, A., Kurylev, Y., 1998, Multidimensional inverse problems with incomplete boundary spectral data, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. 23, 55-95

[K] Kurylev, Y., 1993, Multi-dimensional inverse boundary problems by BC-mathod : groups of transformations and uniqueness results, Math. Comput. Modelling 18, 33-45

[KL1] Kurylev, Y. and Lassas, M., 2000, Hyperbolic inverse problems with data on a part of the boundary AMS/1P Stud. Adv. Math, 16, 259-272

[KL2] Kurylev, Y. and Lassas, M., 2002, Hyperbolic inverse boundary value problems and time-continuation of the non-stationary Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, 132, 931-949

[KL2] Kurylev, Y. and Lassas, M.,1997, The multidimensional Gel'fand inverse problem for nonself-adjoint operators, Inverse Problems, 13, 1495-1501

[RS] Ramm, A. and Sjostrand, J., 1991, An inverse problem of the wave equation, Math. Z., 206, 119-130

[St] Stefanov, P., 1989, Uniqueness of multidimensional inverse scattering problem with time-dependent potentials, Math. Z., 201, 541-549

[T] Tataru, D., 1995, Unique continuation for solutions to PDE, Comm. in PDE 20, 855-84

[T1] Tataru, D., Private communication.